

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

611

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address; COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/056,993 | 01/23/2002 | Steven A. Velinsky | UC01-312-2 | 3536 |
| 7590 01/14/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| John P. O'Banion O'BANION & RITCHEY LLP | | | TILL, TERRENCE R | |
| Suite 1550 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 400 Capitol Ma Sacramento, C. | | | 1744 | |
| , | | | DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | 10/056,993 | VELINSKY ET AL. | | | |
| omec Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| The MALLING DATE COL | Terrence R. Till | 1744 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from | nely filed /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This a | ction is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-68</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>56-68</u> is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,2,16,30,41 and 42</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>3-15,17-29,31-40 and 43-55</u> is/are objection | ected to. | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the di | awing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11. | n is required if the drawing(s) is objective. | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language proving 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic preference was included in the first sentence of the | nave been received in Application of documents have been received PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) sentence of the specification or instance of the specification of | d in this National Stage d. (to a provisional application) n an Application Data Sheet. ived. | | | |
| | , at it an Application | BAIA OHOOL, OF OFTA 1,70, | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1/02 | 5) Notice of Informal Dat | PTO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/056,993

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Precetti.
- 3. Precetti discloses a nozzle apparatus for vacuum removal of debris, comprising: a tubular base member 18 capable of being attached to a conduit 15, an extensible member 23 slidably coupled to said base member; said extensible member configured for longitudinal extension and retraction; said extensible member having a nozzle tip (the free end of the extensible member); and means for extending or retracting said extensible member 24,25. Precetti further discloses said means for extending or retracting said extensible member comprises a controllable actuator (cylinders 24,25).
- 4. Claims 30, 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pullen.
- 5. The patent to Pullen discloses a particulate matter moving apparatus, comprising: a dexterous extensible nozzle assembly 21 configured to be pivotally coupled to a conduit; and means for manipulating the position of the nozzle assembly 4, 15, 29, 33; wherein the elevation and location of said nozzle assembly can be precisely adjusted. Pullen further discloses said

Application/Control Number: 10/056,993

Art Unit: 1744

means for manipulating the position of the nozzle assembly comprises a controllable actuator (the pistons).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 3-15, 17-29, 31-40 and 43-55 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 56-68 are allowed.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: With respect to claims 56, 61 and 68, the prior art does not disclose nor render obvious the claimed combination of subject matter, particularly said outer cylinder having a universal joint capable of coupling said outer cylinder with a conduit, an inner cylinder disposed within the outer cylinder on a plurality of support rails; means for extending and retracting said inner cylinder with respect to said outer cylinder; and a dexterous nozzle tip coupled to said inner cylinder.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Daneman '258, Stout et al. and Masters show the current state of the art in mobile cleaning systems with manipulable nozzles.

Art Unit: 1744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrence R. Till whose telephone number is (571) 272-1280. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Thurs. and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Terrence R. Till
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1744

trt